UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/526,731	03/04/2005	Nicole Francisca Van Poppel	I-2002-017 US	5787	
	7590 07/14/201 ng-Plough Animal Hea	EXAMINER			
Patent Dept. K-	-6-1, 1990		HINES, JANA A		
2000 Galloping Kenilworth, NJ			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@spcorp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/526,731	VAN POPPEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	JaNa Hines	1645					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lates Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		FINOT REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 22 April 2010. A brief in	compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 m	ust be filed within two	months of the				
date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>new claims 39 and 40</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116		maniiant Amaandmaant/I	DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpilant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed. 		imaly filed amandmar	ot concoling the				
non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provi		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-35</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NOne</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other:							
	/Mark Navarro/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1645					
	, =	=					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The proposed after final amendment will not be entered because the amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and search. The new issues are drawn to the non-heterologous ribosomal protein gene, the expression of the gene, switching off the promoter; the inducible promoter controlling the non-heterologous ribosomal protein which is switched off and the inducible promoter being adjacent to a non-heterologous ribosomal protein gene. Furthermore, the amended and new claims do not place the application in better form for appeal because they increase the issues by presenting new and unconsidered limitations. Therefore the amendment wil not be entered.

The rejection of claims 21,28-32 and 34-35 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Titus et al., in view of Yan et al., is maintained for reasons already of record.

The rejection of claims 21,28-32 and 34-35 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wirtz et al., is maintained for reasons already of record. The rejection is on the grounds that Wirtz et al., teach inducible gene expression for T. brucei that allows precise control of the expression of genes.

The rejection of claims 21-29 and 33-35 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sutherland et al., and Durocher further in view of Gozar et al., is maintained for reasons already of record.